

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 140 Alexandria Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspb.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/005,026	12/04/2001	Chee Hung Ben Choi	16675/94636-00	9518		
33222	7590 03/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
,	ALKER, WAECHTER, PO	NGUYEN, LE V				
& DENEGRE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	, FOUR UNITED PLAZA	ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER			
	D PLAZA BOULEVARD	2174	2174			
BATON ROU	JGE, LA 70809		DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		1	10/005,026		CHOI, CHEE HUNG BEN			
		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
			e Nguyen		2174			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appear	rs on the cover :	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRY IN THE MINISTRY IN THE MINISTRY IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this community is specified above, the maximum soure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS CON). In no event, however pply and will expire SI use the application to the	MMUNICATION er, may a reply be time IX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 17 Janu	arv 2006.					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-33 and 35-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-19,21-33 and 35-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>3 and 20</u> is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or el	lection requirem	ient.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accept	ed or b) 🗌 obje	cted to by the E	xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Dat lotice of Informal Pa bther:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/005,026 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to an amendment filed 1/17/06.

- 2. Claims 1-33 and 35-39 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 17, 18 and 35 are independent claims. Claims 1, 17, 18 and 35 have been amended; claim 34 has been cancelled; and claims 36-39 have been added.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 18, 19, 21, 22, 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimomura et al. ("Shimomura").

As per claim 1, Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory of computer files/individualized listing of links comprising:

Art Unit: 2174

a. prearranging computer files to form a global default directory (section [0108]; in accordance with lines 5-6 of page 7 of the specification, the global directory is an organized collection of individual Web pages which form the Internet).

b. maintaining user customization data in a user customization data file (figs. 7-8; sections [0099]-[0104]; user customization data file or user preference file 757); and

c. automatically, without user intervention, reconfiguring a copy of a portion of the global default directory based on the user customization data file, thereby creating an individualized view of a user directory (fig. 8; displayed is the reconfigured/modified copy of a portion of the global default directory/organized collection of individual Web pages, which form the Internet, based on user customization data file or user preference file).

As per claim 2, Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links wherein the global default directory includes files in the Internet and/or an Intranet (section [0108]; *global default directory/organized collection of individual Web pages, which form the Internet*).

As per claim 4, Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising allowing multiple client computers to access the global default directory on a server computer (fig. 4; sections [0099]-[0104] and [0108]).

As per claim 5, Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising storing user customization data in a user computer ([0098]; a user at a client system creates user customization data/user

Art Unit: 2174

set of preferences wherein the customization data is inherently temporarily or permanently stored in the client system used given that the user customization data has to be presented and collected in order to create it).

Claim 18 is similar in scope to claim 1 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 19 is similar in scope to claim 2 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 21 is similar in scope to claim 4 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 22 is similar in scope to claim 5 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 36, Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links wherein the global default directory is a global Internet directory (sections [0099]-[0104] and [0108]).

Claim 38 is similar in scope to claim 36 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 6-8 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimomura et al. ("Shimomura") in view of Screen Dumps of Netscape 4.75 ("Netscape").

As per claim 6, although Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising providing a user interface for a user to view files in said user directory (fig. 8), Shimomura does not explicitly disclose modifying files in said user directory. Netscape teaches a method for creating an individualized listing of links comprising providing a user interface for a user to modify files in said user directory (Netscape: figs. 2-4). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Netscape with the method of Shimomura in order to allow users to make minor adjustment, that are temporary.

As per claim 7, the modified Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links wherein said modification of files in said user directory is at least one of opening, cutting, copying, pasting, deleting, or renaming (Netscape: fig. 4, elements 420 and 430).

As per claim 8, the modified Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising updating said user customization data based on the arrangements or modifications by a user (Netscape: fig. 5).

Claim 23 is similar in scope to claim 6 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 24 is similar in scope to claim 7 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 25 is similar in scope to claim 8 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

7. Claims 9-17, 22, 26-33, 35, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimomura et al. ("Shimomura") in view of Salas et al. ("Salas").

As per claim 9, although Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising collecting user customization data ([0098]; user customization data/user set of preferences are collected through forms presented by server 781), Shimomura does not teach collecting user customization data from multiple users in order to form a global usage history. Salas teaches user customization data from multiple users in order to form a global usage history (Salas: col. 4, line 56 through col. 5, line 4; col. 5, lines 11-19). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Sala, with the method of Shimomura in order to provide sharing and communication of data between users.

As per claim 10, the modified Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising sending each user request of a file or folder modification from a client computer to a server computer (Salas: col. 12, lines 31-61).

As per claim 11, the modified Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising updating said global

Art Unit: 2174

usage history based on subsequent user requests to modify or arrange files or folders (Salas: col. 4, line 56 through col. 5, line 4; col. 5, lines 11-19; col. 12, lines 31-61).

As per claim 12, the modified Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising recording a particular directory modification specified by a user request and the number of requests specifying a particular modification (Salas: col. 14, lines 25-55).

As per claim 13, the modified Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising storing said global usage history in a database (Salas: fig. 1; database 20 and 20' with respective portions of the specification).

As per claim 14, the modified Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising updating said global default directory based on the global usage history (Salas: col. 4, line 56 through col. 5, line 4; col. 5, lines 11-19; col. 12, lines 31-61).

As per claim 15, the modified Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising adding, removing, or renaming files or folders in the global default directory based on said global usage history (Netscape: figs 2-4; Salas: col. 4, line 56 through col. 5, line 4; col. 5, lines 11-19).

As per claim 16, the modified Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links comprising modifying attributes of

Art Unit: 2174

files or folders in the global default directory based on said global usage history (Salas: col. 4, line 56 through col. 5, line 4; col. 5, lines 11-19).

Claim 17 is similar in scope to the combination of claims 11 and 14 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 26 is similar in scope to claim 9 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 27 is similar in scope to claim 10 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 28 is similar in scope to claim 11 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 29 is similar in scope to claim 12 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 30 is similar in scope to claim 13 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 31 is similar in scope to claim 14 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 32 is similar in scope to claim 15 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 33 is similar in scope to claim 16 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 35 is similar in scope to 9 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale except for the feature of automatically retrieving the user customization data, which the modified Shimomura also teaches (Salas: col. 11, lines 25-30; col. 16, lines 21-43).

As per claim 37, Shimomura teaches a method for creating an individualized directory/individualized listing of links wherein the global default directory is a global Internet directory (sections [0099]-[0104] and [0108]).

Claim 39 is similar in scope to claim 36 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter and Reasons for Allowance

- 8. Claims 3 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art made of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the claimed invention. Specifically, the prior art fails to teach, in combination with the remaining elements:

the method comprising adding files into or removing files from said global default directory as recited in claims 3 and 20 with the remaining elements of creating an individualized directory of computer files comprising prearranging computer files to form a global default directory, maintaining user customization data in a user customization data file and automatically, without user intervention, reconfiguring a copy of a portion of

Art Unit: 2174

the global default directory based on the user customization data file, thereby creating an individualized view of a user directory as recited in claims 1 and 18.

Although Shimomura et al., Screen Dumps of Netscape 4.75 and Salas et al. teach a substantial amount of the claimed matters, Shimomura et al., Screen Dumps of Netscape 4.75 and Salas et al. fail to anticipate or render the above underlined limitations obvious. Moreover, although the references have elements of the independent claims, they do not provide for a suggestion to modify and make the above underlined limitations of claims 3 and 20 obvious.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 and 35-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

MacNaughton et al. (US 6,020,884) teach Community bookmarks enable community members to contribute Web pages for the benefit of other community members.

Dempski et al. (US 6,727,927 B1) teach a system, method and article of manufacture for a user interface for a knowledge management tool.

Art Unit: 2174

Dando (US 6,944,829 B2) teaches configurable UI component management system.

Lord et al. (US 6,961,909 B2) teach a system for displaying a hierarchical directory.

Odom (US 6,606,102 B1) teaches a process wherein user profiles are obtained by discerning and collating user interest based upon patterns of search and selection of displayed content.

Muschetto (US 6,850,255 B2) teaches a method and apparatus for accessing information, computer programs and electronic communications across multiple computing devices using a GUI.

Hekmatpour (US 5,799,292) teaches adaptive hypermedia presentation method and system.

Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 12. examiner should be directed to Examiner Lê Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4068. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,026 Page 12

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LVN Patent Examiner February 3, 2006

STISTING KINCAID
KRISTING KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EMAMMER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100